IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY

CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

WRIT PETITION NO. 2977 OF 2005

Mrs. Archana Arun Bhonsale & Anr.. Petitioner

Versus

Bharat Petroleum Bombay .... Respondents.

Mr. R.S. Pai i/by Mr. Sanjay Udeshi & Co. for Respondent No.1.

Mr. Praful B. Shah for Petitioner.

Mr. R.D. Rane, Government Pleader for R. No. 3.

CORAM : F.I. REBELLO &
DR.D.Y.CHANDRACHUD,JJ.
DATED : FEBRUARY 03, 2006

P.C.

. On behalf of the Respondent, their learned counsel does not deny that they have to recruit one person from the family, being project affected person. In the instant case, the family has agreed to the name of Shri. Vishal Arun Bhonsale, Petitioner No. 2 in the present Petition. It is pointed out that presently, there is no vacancy but as soon as the first vacancy arises, at Paniwala terminal, Manmad, District Nashik, they will appoint Petitioner No. 2. Statement made on

behalf of Respondent No. 1 herein is accepted. It is made clear that at the time of appointment, if the Petitioner No. 2 has crossed the age limit, that would not stand in his way and the Respondent No. 1 will relax the requirement of age on the facts and circumstances of this case. With the above observations, the Petition stands disposed off. Rule made absolute in terms of what is stated above.

(F.I. REBELLO,J.)

(DR. D.Y. CHANDRACHUD,J.)